





Tropical cyclone outflow and warm core structure as revealed by HS3 & TCI dropsonde data

Will Komaromi Jim Doyle U.S. Naval Research Laboratory (NRL), Monterey, CA will.komaromi@nrlmry.navy.mil

> TCI telecon September 2 2016

Acknowledgements

- NASA, HS3 leadership: Scott A. Braun and Paul A. Newman, & entire HS3 team
- Daniel Stern of UCAR, Dave Ryglicki of NRC & Jon Moskaitis of NRL
- National Academies of Sciences National Research Council, the Chief of Naval Research through the NRL Base Program, PE 0601153N, and the Office of Naval Research as part of the Tropical Cyclone Intensity Direct Research Initiative (TCI-DRI)
- High Performance Computing (HPC) time from the Navy Defense Resource Center (DSRC) at Stennis, MS

Background

- Until recently, single ER-2 flight investigating Hurricane Erin (2001) only direct dropsonde observations of tropical cyclone (TC) outflow layer (Halverson et al. 2006)
- Air Force C-130s, NOAA P-3s, much lower ~700 hPa
- NOAA G-IV ~150 hPa still misses some outflow; generally avoids TC core



The NASA Hurricane and Severe Storm Sentinel (HS3)

- 2012-2014 in the Atlantic
- Primary goal: observe TC formation & intensity change, interaction between large-scale environment & internal dynamics (Braun et al. 2016)
- Aircraft: Global Hawk AV-6
 - 18-24 h flight time
 - Release at or above 100 mb
 - Sample both:
 - inner-core & environment
 - outflow & outflow roots, or region above TC core in which outflow originates
- Research missions flown: 22 (16 included here)

Date	System	Classification	Intensity	Δ Intensity
(1 st dropsonde)	Name		(kt)	(kt)
2012-09-11	Nadine	TD	30	0
2012-09-14	Nadine	Н	70	+10
2012-09-19	Nadine	TS	50	0
2012-09-22	Nadine	TS	45	+5
2012-09-26	Nadine	TS	50	+5
2013-08-29	Gabrielle	Pre-genesis	< 25	0
2013-09-04	Gabrielle	TD	30	0
2013-09-07	Gabrielle	Disturbance	25	0
2013-09-16	Humberto	TS	35	-5
2014-08-26	Cristobal	Н	70	+5
2014-08-29	Cristobal	Н	70	-10
2014-09-02	Dolly	TS	40	-5
2014-09-12	Edouard	TS	35	+5
2014-09-14	Edouard	Н	80	+15
2014-09-16	Edouard	Н	85	-20
2014-09-18	Edouard	Н	65	-10

Methodology

- Drop locations computed in storm-relative coordinates, linear extrapolation of NHC best track (2 min HRD track when available)
- Dropsonde GPS lat/lon coordinates throughout fall used to account for "drift" (advection)
- Data from each dropsonde interpolated to P(hPa) every 5 mb
- Data then interpolated to 25-km x/y grid via triangulation-based natural neighbor interpolation (compromise between linear & cubic)



"Dropsonde drift" from release point to surface for H Edouard on 2014-09-16



H Cristobal, 850 hPa winds: Earth-relative (left) vs storm-relative (right)

Association between strength of UL divergence and intensity trend



Mean divergence - all cases averaged





H Cristobal (2014) – dual outflow channels

... but minimal intensification

Maximum S outflow occurs higher levels than max N outflow, consistent with Merrill and Velden (1996). Related to slope in tropopause p/T?



Azimuthal avg V_{tan} – 4 select cases



Azimuthal avg V_{rad} – 4 select cases



Intensifying vs non-intensifying composites



Vertical profiles of r=50-300 km mean radial wind & 1σ shading







On average, there is a greater difference between hurricanes and TDs/TSs in terms of LL-inflow, but greater difference between intensifying and non-intensifying TCs in terms of UL-outflow, with less overlap of 1σ region

Azimuthal avg V_{rad} – 4 select cases



Intensifying vs non-intensifying composites



Intensifying vs non-intensifying composites



100-500km mean θe_inflow vs θoutflow



 $\boldsymbol{\theta} \text{ outflow (K) vs } \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathbf{e}} \text{ inflow (K)}$

Greater SSTs & more low-level moisture \rightarrow greater θe in the PBL \rightarrow stronger TC \rightarrow higher outflow

 θe seems low for given θ , but max θe achieved

Vertical wind shear "S" (computed vs 850hPa reference level)

e.g. Davis and Ahijevych (2012)



 $S = \sqrt{u_{shear}^2 + v_{shear}^2}$

Vertical wind shear (computed vs 850 mb reference level)

Greatest outflow occurs ~200 mb, also level of loacl min in shear Is lower shear promoting outflow, or outflow "resisting" the shear?





shear (m s⁻¹)

TS Dolly (2014): here 850-200 mb shear weak, but 850-400 mb shear great. TC weakens.

20140902 - zonal (blue), meridional (red) & total (black) wind shear

H Edouard (2014): here 850-200hPa shear greater, but mid-level shear weak. TC strengthens.

20140912 - zonal (blue), meridional (red) & total (black) wind shear

20

Variability in height and strength of warm core, as well as existence of single or multiple warm cores

 $\theta'(r,p) = \theta(r,p) - \bar{\theta}_{r>500km}(p)$



Warm core – overall statistics





- Great coverage of inner core and outflow roots
- In order to get full outflow structure, will want to supplement with radiosondes & other aircraft observations
- 4d-var experiments with COAMPS-TC

Summary

- Stronger UL DIV & V_{rad} for strengthening vs weakening TCs, especially from 180-150 mb with less difference at or below 200 mb. Stronger TCs not necessarily associated with greater UL DIV or V_{rad} than weaker TCs. However, intense UL DIV no guarantee of strengthening system
- Level of max V_{rad} (~190 mb), consistently 25-50 mb lower than min V_{tan} (~160 mb), some disconnect between UL anticyclone and outflow
- Only one case of dual outflow channels (Cristobal 2014), associated with modest 5 kts intensification. S outflow stronger at higher levels than N outflow, with S outflow in region of colder but not higher tropopause. Over all cases, tropopause bulges slightly upward above core for stronger TCs
- Outflow roots region where +V_r originates above TC coincides from r=50-200km, 300-150 hPa associated with low I (~ 1-2x10⁻⁴ s⁻¹)
- Location of deep convection relative to the vortex center more consistent with mid-level shear vector than 850-200 hPa shear. Also, a few instances of stronger shear "undercutting" the outflow
- Strong relationship: warm core ΔT & intensity, little or no relationship: warm core ΔT & Δintensity, height of warm core with either