# Quantifying Mercury Emissions from Large Point Sources in the Southeastern U.S. during NOMADSS

J. L. Ambrose,<sup>1</sup> L. Gratz,<sup>1</sup> D. A. Jaffe,<sup>1,2</sup> C. A. Cantrell,<sup>3</sup> R. L. Mauldin III,<sup>3</sup> T. Campos,<sup>4</sup> F. Flocke,<sup>4</sup> D. Knapp,<sup>4</sup> and A. Weinheimer<sup>4</sup>

> <sup>1</sup>University of Washington, Bothell, WA <sup>2</sup>University of Washington, Seattle, WA <sup>3</sup>University of Colorado, Boulder, CO <sup>4</sup>National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO

Southeast Atmosphere Study Data Workshop

Boulder, CO



Jaffe Research Group University of Washington | Bothell





## Acknowledgements

People

NOMADSS science team
NCAR Research Aviation Facility staff

Funding



Some data in this presentation are preliminary.

#### Nitrogen, Oxidants, Mercury and Aerosol Distributions, Sources and Sinks



NOMADSS Hg science goals •Characterize emissions from large U.S. Hg point sources •coal-fired power plants generate 50% of U.S. anthropogenic emissions •Study regional scale Hg distribution and atmospheric chemistry

#### Aircraft Hg Measurements: Detector for Oxidized Hg Species (DOHGS)



Species measured
Total atmospheric Hg (THg)
Gaseous Elemental Mercury (GEM)
Reactive Mercury (RM) by difference
Time resolution: 2.5 min
Mean overall uncertainties
THg, GEM: 7–8%
RM: ~45 pg/m<sup>3</sup>
RM LOD (3σ): 110 pg/m<sup>3</sup>



#### **Supporting Data**

C-130 measurements\* (technique, investigators)

- SO<sub>2</sub> (UV fluorescence, U. Colorado-Boulder)
  - 10 s data averaged to 2.5 min
- NO, NO<sub>2</sub> (chemiluminescence, NCAR CARI group)
- CO<sub>2</sub> (CRDS, NCAR CARI group)
  - •1 s data averaged to 10 s, 2.5 min
- **Emissions inventories (EPA)** 
  - •EPA National Emissions Inventory (NEI)
  - •EPA Toxics Release Inventory (TRI)
  - •EPA Air Markets Program Database (AMPD)

Transport modeling

NOAA HYSPLIT dispersion model

## **Hg Point Source Analysis Protocol**



## Point Source Survey 1: RF-07 (Ohio River Valley)



## Point Source Survey 1: RF-07 (Ohio River Valley)



Selected C-130 measurements (2.5 min)

## Source Attribution Example: RF-07, Plume 1



Modeled emissions dispersion from nearby Fort Martin coal-fired power plant (Data sources: EPA TRI, NEI; http://www.ready.noaa.gov)

### Source Attribution Example: RF-07, Plume 1 – continued



(a) Observed SO<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> ER (plume 1) vs. (b) inventory EFs (Ft. Martin Plant)

Plume 1 SO<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> ER matches real-time EF for Fort Martin Power Plant
 Ft. Martin was 2<sup>nd</sup> largest Hg point source in WV in 2012 (326 lbs)

#### Source Attribution for RF-07



#### Source Attribution for RF-07 – continued



#### Inventory Evaluation: Fort Martin Power Plant



(a) Cross-plume Hg and CO<sub>2</sub> obs. (2.5 min); (b) Fort Martin ER-EF comparison

•Hg/CO<sub>2</sub> ER is ~1/3<sup>rd</sup> of most recent inventory-based EF
•But, ER is ~60% higher than previous year's EF
•2013 Hg data are needed to better evaluate inventories

#### Inventory Evaluation: Fort Martin Power Plant – cont.



(a) Cross-plume Hg and CO<sub>2</sub> obs. (2.5 min); (b) Fort Martin ER-EF comparison

Results are consistent with those for first plume crossing
Plume 1 ER = 2.2 ± 0.6 ppqv/ppmv
Plume 2 ER = 2.4 ± 1.1 ppqv/ppmv

## Source Attribution for RF-07 – continued



•Hatfield Plant was the largest Hg point source in PA in 2012 (530 lbs)

#### **Inventory Evaluation: Hatfield's Ferry Power Plant**



(a) Cross-plume Hg and CO<sub>2</sub> obs. (2.5 min); (b) Hatfield ER-EF comparison

•Hg/CO<sub>2</sub> ER is ~45 to 60% of most recent inventory-based EFs
•2013 Hg data are needed to better evaluate inventories

## Point Source Survey 2: RF-08 (AL and Northeastern TX)



C-130 flight track during RF-08

•Numerous plumes observed



Selected C-130 measurements (2.5 min)

## Source Attribution for RF-08





Selected C-130 measurements (2.5 min)

•Limestone Plant was 12<sup>th</sup> largest Hg point source in TX in 2012 (375 lbs)

#### Inventory Evaluation: Limestone Power Plant



(a) Cross-plume Hg and CO<sub>2</sub> obs. (2.5 min); (b) Limestone ER-EF comparison

•Hg/CO<sub>2</sub> ER is ~3-fold higher than most recent inventory-based EF
•But, ER is close to previous year's EF
•2013 Hg data are needed to better evaluate inventories

## Source Attribution for RF-08 – *continued*



C-130 flight track during RF-08



Selected C-130 measurements (2.5 min)

## **Other Large Non-Power Plant Hg Point Sources**



## Conclusions

•Sampled numerous Hg-rich plumes in the Southeast during NOMADSS, many are traceable to large coal-fired power plants.

- •Developed a method to compare observed ERs with inventory EFs and applied this to several large coal fired power plants.
- •Preliminary evaluation indicates the actual Hg emissions may differ significantly from inventory values.
- •Will continue to evaluate Hg emission inventories for all sources that we sampled.
- •Will revise our analysis using 2013 Hg data when available.

#### **THANK YOU**

# **Extra Slides**

#### Instrument Overview – Detector for Oxidized Hg Species



Schematic of the 'UW-DOHGS' as configured on board the C-130

#### **Evaluation of RM Collection Efficiency**



(a) Manifold/spiking system; (b) measured vs. expected manifold HgBr<sub>2</sub> concentrations

•HgBr<sub>2</sub> is used as a surrogate calibration standard for RM