DEEPWAVE Debrief Agenda

1300 Brief Introduction and Participants Jim Moore **Opening Comments by NSF Program Managers** 1305 Overview of Project by Science Team and Questions Ron Smith, Dave Fritts, Other PIs 1325 **Review of EOL Project Support Components RAF NSF/NCAR GV** Pavel/Lou **RAF Data Quality** Jorgen CDS In-Field Support Greg/Ted CDS Data management Steve ISF ISS deployment Hokitika Bill **ISF** dropsondes Terry/Kate Education/Outreach Alison Jim/Vidal PMO

(Keep summary comments to ~5 min to allow time for questions/clarification. Please focus on highlights, challenges and lessons learned)

1415 EOL-NSF Discussions (restricted)

(This is the opportunity to discuss any items brought up in the previous section that might require additional explanation or cover other questions from NSF for EOL staff).

1455 Concluding Remarks

NSF Managers

NSF Deepwave de-brief: Preliminary Science Results

Nov 10, 2014 (See Debrief Supplement for further info)

Dave Fritts, Biff Williams, Katrina Bossert and others from GATS Mike Taylor, Dominique Pautet and others from Utah State Steve Eckermann and Jim Doyle and others from NRL, Ron Smith, Chris Kruse, Alison Nugent and others from Yale Steve Smith from Boston Univ. Andreas Doernbrack and others from DLR

GATS Na and Rayleigh Lidar Highlights

Rayleigh lidar: Τ, Τ', ρ', cloud height
20-40km: 13km hor., 1km vert. res.
40-60km: 30km hor., 3km vert. res.

Na lidar: 0.2W beam, 9.8W beam 15-25km: w', 30km hor. 3km vert. 80-100km: Na, Na', 5km H, 1km V T, T': 100km H, 3km V

DEEPWAVE Operations

- Lidar data: 130 hrs on 24 flights
- No laser failures
- No viewport: rf01
- Daytime flight: rf15
- Na laser freq. locking issue rf20-26, just Na, no T







RF17: Trailing wave SE of South Island Rayleigh T' (left), ECMWF (right) sampled on flight track ch Flight RF17 5 July 2014



RF25: Southern Ocean Wave

Lidar T' (left), ECMWF (right) sampled on flight track



RF16: Strong MW in troposphere, breaking -> strat. secondary waves? Rayleigh T' (left), WRF w' model (right) Temperature variation (+-15K scale), pass 3, rf22, 97-04-2014 55 km 55 30 km 2014-07-03 00:00: Valid 1.2 20 km Pressure (hPa) 0.6 174 170 172 168 0.0 100 Mountains^{Longitude (deg E)} 0.6 W Ε -1.2 30(400 -1.8 0 km Distance (km) **Mountains** W Ε

RF13: Persistent Mountain Wave for 12 mountain passes, 1 trailing leg



USU Mesospheric GW Highlights RF 22



Lauder

Mountain wave development

under variable forcing

AMTM Wing cam

AMTM instrument Suite

Detection of MW over Auckland Islands (700m)



- over NZ and SO islands • Extensive large and
- small-scale GW over open oceans
- Strong evidence for deep GW propagation

All-sky Imaging of Breaking Mountain Gravity Waves Mt. John Obs. and Lauder



21 June 2014 OH 80-85 km

11:49:18 UT、Jun2114, oh 🚽 12:00:57 UT Jun2114 - oh

Four emissions: OH Na O₂ O(¹S)

PI: Steven M. Smith

12:12:39 UT Jun2114 oh

OH: λ_h = 98 km I/I₀ = 8% O₂: λ_h = 108 km I/I₀ = 16%



FPI winds (U = 58 ms⁻¹) λ_z = 15-18 km (N=0.025 s⁻²)

OH: $F_m = 150 - 300 \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-2}$ O₂: $F_m = 40 - 60 \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-2}$

30 May 2014



OH 80-85 kmNa 90 kmSmall-scale waves: $\lambda_h = 20 \pm 2 \text{ km}$ $1/I_0 = 3-5\%$ Large-scale waves: $\lambda_h = 51 \pm 2 \text{ km}$ $1/I_0 = 3-17\%$ $F_E = 15 - 45 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ ($\lambda_z = 13 \text{ km}$, N=0.025 s⁻²) $F_M = 55 - 180 \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-2}$

O₂ 94 km O(¹S) 96 km Large-scale waves: $\lambda_h = 43 \pm 4$ km $I/I_0 = 3-18\%$ $F_E = 5 - 80$ Wm⁻² $F_M = 10 - 345$ m²s⁻²

Sample AIRS-GV Coincidences: Deep Orographic Gravity Waves

NRL: DC







Sample AIRS-GV Coincidences: Deep Nonorographic Gravity Waves





DLR Falcon in-situ and remote-sensing observations of trapped internal gravity waves at the tropopause



Predictability of Deep Propagating GWs James Doyle, Alex Reinecke, Carolyn Reynolds, and DeepWave Pls **RF03 (13 June)** IR, 250-mb Wind Adjoint Sensitivity **Evolved U Perturbation** 2-mb AIRS T' (RF04) 8 m/s⁻¹ 2 km GV-Track & Drops **RF11 (28 June) AMTM OH (87km) (RF12)** M 14 m/s⁻¹ 2 km GV-Track & Drog

- G-V predictability flights (w/ drops) sampled initial condition sensitivity regions upstream of the S. Alps prior to gravity wave (GW) events (3 flights)
- Sensitivities located in dynamically active regions (jet, front, convection).
- Evolved adjoint perturbations are large enough to impact wave launching.
- G-V gravity wave "verification" flights (following day) observed deep propagating waves and will be used to quantify the predictability relationship between lower and upper levels of the atmosphere. NRL: Monterey