S Advanced Cooperative Arctic Data & Information Service

NSF Review Panel Meeting for the Advanced Cooperative Arctic Data and Information Service (ACADIS) project

May 6-7, 2014 Boulder, CO









Wednesday

Time	Presentation/Discussion	Participants	Location	
Wednesday, May 7th				
7:45am to 8:15am	Coffee and morning refreshments		Center Green	
			Cafeteria	
8:15am to 9:30am	NSF Review Panel Executive session	NSF Review Panel	Center Green1	
			Room 2503	
9:10am to 9:30am	NSF Review Panel meet with Karen	NSF Review	Center Green1	
	Anderson	Panel/Karen	Room 2503	
		Anderson		
9:30am to 10:15am	Follow-up questions with the NSF	Jim Moore/NSF	Center Green	
	Review Panel	Review Panel	Board Room	
10:15am to 10:45am	Metrics – community service contacts	Eric Nienhouse, Lynn	Center Green	
	and website statistics	Yarmey	Board Room	
10:45am to 11:30am	Summary of Year 4 plans and future	Jim Moore	Center Green	
	directions		Board Room	
11:30 am to 12:00pm	Polar Community / <u>EarthCube</u> /	Don Middleton	Center Green	
	Cyberinfrastructure collaboration		Board Room	
12:00pm to 1:00pm	Business lunch for NSF Review Panel		Center Green1	
	members		Room 2503	
12:20pm to 12:40pm	NSF Review Panel Meet with Mark	NSF Review	Center Green1	
	Serreze	Panel/Mark Serreze	Room 2503	
1:00pm to 1:45pm	Demo of Rosetta, ADE, and ACADIS	Sean Arms, Lynn	Center Green	
	Gateway	Yarmey, Eric	Board Room	
		Nienhouse		
1:45am to 3:30pm	NSF Review Panel executive session	NSF Review Panel	Center Green1	
			Room 2503	
3:30pm to 4:30pm	Recommendations from the NSF	NSF Review Panel	Center Green	
	Review Panel	members with Jim	Board Room	
		Moore and Mark		
		Serreze		

ACADIS High Level Requirements - Current System

- Handle long-tail data coming from NSF funded PLR/ARC Investigators
- Provide a system to address certain key components of the data "lifecycle"
- A team collaboration to meet and achieve goals
- Implement efficient methods to upload data and metadata
- Education and training
- Stakeholder involvement (questionnaire, direct contact)
- Be interoperable with other archives (e.g. services)
- Support special requirements datasets
- Ensure long-term stewardship of collections
- Proven technologies for operational software tools and interfaces

Future High Level Requirements

- Considerations for Supporting Arctic Data
 - Handle long-tail data coming from NSF funded PLR/ARC Investigators
 - A team collaboration to meet and achieve goals
 - Education and training (webinars, etc.)
 - Interoperability with other archives (e.g. services)
 - Enable efficient data reuse and integration (e.g. common formats)
 - User experience and outreach
 - Long term access and stewardship of the data
 - Listen to the science community (ADAC)
 - Maintain a high level of service for multi-disciplines
 - Address real time data handling

 The panel would like 2 sets of minutes from the PI higher level meetings (monthly) and the cross cutting minutes.

Information will be posted to the EOL Read Ahead web site for the Panel

Cross Cutting Notes

Cross-cutting Team mtg - Feb 12, 2014

Karen, Eric, Sean, Linda, Don S., Lynn

Top 6 priorities for the May meeting - discussion and planning

- self publishing interface and workflow
- search and ADE integration
- integrate Rosetta data conversion tool
- citation & DOI tools
- use metrics and reporting
- metadata

on metadata - present as stats

roadmap with focus on clarity

watch budgets, budget processes

ACADIS as a govt project wrt documentation, mission, etc Intro to project management basics from Karen (see document sent to team) Next steps for the new team

TO DO -

- Create a list of tools we use, and why we chose them over other tools (IRODS, noSQL, SPARQL)
- Give Karen Admin rights to Basecamp
- Schedule next CC mtg

NSF Review, May 6-7, 2014

Cross Cutting – Create the Team

From Me😭	🔦 Reply	K Reply All	- -	Forward	Archive	e 🕒 Junk	O Delete
Subject ACADIS Cross Cutting Team						2/10/20	14 7:25 AM
To Lynn Yarmey 🚖, Don Stott 😭, eric nier	nhouse 😭, s	ean arms 😭					
Cc linda cully 😭, jim moore 😭, serreze@	kryos.color	ado.edu 😭				Other	Actions •
Lynn, Don, Eric, Sean,							
I would like to create a cross cutting four groups, NSIDC, CISL, UNIDATA, EO work. My preference would be to meet a convenient location since it is some	g group w: L, who are this Wedne ewhat in-l	ith one (or m e leading the esday, Feb 12 between the o	nore e te 2th, othe	, potenti ams that at 10:00 r locatio	ally) from are doing am. NSIDC ons. Lynn,	n each of the day- was sugg will thi	these to-day ested as s work?
If you are in the TO: line, please replease suggest an alternate person. So calendars.	ply with y ince not (your confirma everyone uses	atio 5 Me	n. If you etingMake	i are unabl er, please	le to att add this	end, to your
The purpose of this group will be to doing at the day-to-day working level whole. We need to start documenting the for the May NSF meeting. Frequency of	make sure , and do (he projec future m/	each team ur discuss how t t benefits to eetings will	nder: the i be the	stands wh work fits e Arctic decided i	nat the oth into the community in this mee	ner teams project in prepa eting.	are as a ration
Best regards, Karen							
Karen Andersen							
Project Manager	formation	Somuico					
~ sponsored by the National Science	Foundation	n ~					
Earth Observing Laboratory							
National Center for Atmospheric Resear	rch						
kanderse@ucar.edu							
303-497-2010 office							

NSF Review, May 6-7, 2014

Cross Cutting Notes

Cross-cutting Team mtg - Feb 12, 2014

Karen, Eric, Sean, Linda, Don S., Lynn

Top 6 priorities for the May meeting - discussion and planning

- self publishing interface and workflow
- search and ADE integration
- integrate Rosetta data conversion tool
- citation & DOI tools
- use metrics and reporting
- metadata

on metadata - present as stats

roadmap with focus on clarity

watch budgets, budget processes

ACADIS as a govt project wrt documentation, mission, etc Intro to project management basics from Karen (see document sent to team) Next steps for the new team

TO DO -

- Create a list of tools we use, and why we chose them over other tools (IRODS, noSQL, SPARQL)
- Give Karen Admin rights to Basecamp
- Schedule next CC mtg

NSF Review, May 6-7, 2014

Cross Cutting Notes – Basics of Project Management

Basics of Project Management

A project, as defined for any organization, is a temporary effort to create a product, service, or result. Projects have a beginning and an end. The end of the project concludes with objectives that have been met, or, in some cases, the project is terminated early because the project is no longer required or the objectives cannot be met. A Project Manager is the person assigned with the responsibility to achieve project objectives.

The figure below illustrates the basic project management sub-processes that all projects experience.



Basic Project Management Process

Initiate

Initiating a project is the process by which authorization exists to start a project. Scope is defined and financial and contractual obligations are defined. At this point, stakeholders should be identified. These are all people and organizations impacted by the project and who have an impact on project success.

Steps to initiate a project may include:

- Enlist a sponsor or customer
- Secure funding
- Name the stakeholders
- Complete contractual paperwork

Plan

Planning for the project and creating the Project Plan is the most critical part of project management. A well-defined plan will prevent many unexpected issues related to costs, schedule, and risks. Lessons learned from previous similar projects, if available, are an integral part of creating a new project plan.

Steps to create a project plan may include:

- Collect and document requirements
- Define scope
- Create work breakdown structure
- Estimate cost and determine budgets
- Define and execute subcontracts
- Identify risks and a plan for risk management
- Draft a schedule
- Determine quality control requirements and process
- Draft a communication plan
- Determine technical requirements
- Determine metrics to be collected
- Instigate Configuration Management (CM)

NSF Review, May 6-7, 2014

Execute

The execution phase of a project involves implementing the plan and completing the work.

This may include:

- Develop and manage the project team
- Distribute information
- Purchases if required
- Quality assurance
- Managing stakeholder expectations

Monitor

Monitoring a project closely is essential to decreasing risks, staying on track with the project plan and key milestones, and ensuring adequate resources are available to carry out the work.

Monitoring elements may include:

- Budget/Performance Metrics
 - Earned Value Management (EVM), if required
- Schedule, milestone, and resource risks
- Technical risks
- Verifying and monitoring changes in scope
- Possible changes to the contract

Close

Closing a project is the process to complete a project and gather and archive records of the project. This should include:

- Sign-off and acceptance from the customer or sponsor
- Archiving the documentation related to the project
- · Conduct a review of the project with the customer or sponsor
- Document lessons learned
- Finalize accounting and procurements

References:

A Guide to Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK Guide) 4th Edition, Project Management Institute (PMI®)

Fast Forward MBA in Project Management 3rd Edition, 2008, Eric Verzuh

Created for the National Center for Atmospheric Research by Karen Andersen, February 2014

Cross Cutting – Discussion of Priorities

updated by P	(A, Feb 12, 2014			
ACADIS Project Priorities and Tasks				
Self Publishing Interface & Workflow				
Search & ADE Integration	A ACADIS Advisory Panel Recommendations, June 2013			
Integrate Rosetta Data Conversion Tool				
Citation & DOI Tools	road map or workflow that describes how the individual pieces (completed, prototyped, and planned) will all come together and the timeframe and respective ACADIS partner roles and responsibilities for achieving respective milestones. number one priority from our perspective is that as many data as possible are available, easily discoverable – the capacity to download data in a universal form			
Use Metrics and Reporting				
Metadata				
NSF Review Panel Recommendations	is less important.			
	year 3, end-to-end product potential must be developed. Again, seeing how all th			
need coordinated system design and system engineering process	various pieces (e.g. data ingest involving Rosetta, metadata entry, etc.; Arctic Dat			
need requirements, configuration management at the project level incorpora	Explorer; ACADIS search) work together is the main goal here Automated interconnectivity of data and information through web services with other data centers and information brokers is an important issue that needs to be			
separate projects				
evaluation process that led to software engineering practices	addressed. Is the ACADIS project taking advantage of new technology as much a			
assign responsibilities for user services including phone number	possible?			
	setup an automated web service with the ARMAP team			
	One note of concern is that web searches for terms that are included in ACADIS			
-	metadata, and also in project descriptions, do not find the ACADIS web site.			
	the ADAC meeting and NSF review, separate the meetings at least by a few days.			
	suggest systematic approach to find Pis and contact them, determine correct			
-	archive, and determine plan for preservation			
-	explain why netCDF is being used under ACADIS and not under other funding			
	strong relationship with community of data providers is essential for the feeling of			
	Sheet1 Sheet2 Sheet3			

NSF Review, May 6-7, 2014

• The panel would like to see a clearer organization chart

ACADIS Teams, Responsibilities, & Decision Making



• The panel would like to see a clearer organization chart

NSIDC	NCAR			
(Science Guidance, ADE Development, Customer Support, Metadata cleanup and maintenance, etc.)	EOL (FL1) (PM, Special Req Datasets, Metadata cleanup, Customer	CISL (ML) (Gateway Development)	UNIDATA (FL4) (Rosetta Development)	
Mark Sarraga	Support, etc.)	Eric Nienhouse	Sean Arms	
		Nathan Hook	Jennifer Oxelson Ganter	
Toni Rosati		Jason Cunnings	Jeff Weber	
Ruth Duerr	Karen Andersen	Christy Grant		
Lisa Booker		Brian Bonnlander		
Stuart Reed	Don Stott			
Luis Espinosa	Linda Cully			
Teri Hoyer	Janet Scannell			
Siri Jodha Singh Khalsa	Scot Loehrer			
Brendan Billingsley	Amanda Orin			
Gloria Hicks	Linda Echo-Hawk			
Pamela Wyatt	C. Brooks Snyder			
Jane Beitler	1			
Agnieszka Gautier	Yuan Sui – Student			
J. Reeves	Eric Dattore - Student			
wichael Branul – Sludent	- I			

 (Combined) The panel would like to see some traceability to the requirements. The panel would like information on how high level management tasks are connected to the actual programming tasks.

ACADIS High Level Requirements - Current System

- Handle long-tail data coming from NSF funded PLR/ARC Investigators
- Provide a system to address certain key components of the data "lifecycle"
- A team collaboration to meet and achieve goals
- Implement efficient methods to upload data and metadata
- Education and training
- Stakeholder involvement (questionnaire, direct contact)
- Be interoperable with other archives (e.g. services)
- Support special requirements datasets
- Ensure long-term stewardship of collections
- Proven technologies for operational software tools and interfaces

ACADIS Core Requirements to Software Development



 The panel would like to see up to date information regarding the level of commitment (to other projects and to ACADIS) for the senior personnel and PI.

UCAR Team

EOL -

Jim Moore, PI: 30% Karen Andersen, Project Mngr: 100% Don Stott, Software Eng: 70% Amanda Orin, Software Eng: 90% Student Assistant II: 2 at 50% each Janet Scannell, Software Eng: 25% Steve Williams, Associate Scientist:15% Brooks Snyder, Software Eng: 10% Linda Cully, Software Eng: 8% Linda Echo-Hawk, Software Eng: 5% Scot Loehrer, Associate Scientist: 5%

CISL -

Don Middleton, Co-PI: 10% Software Developers: 2.25 FTE (this funding goes to a software team, and not to specific individuals) Eric Nienhouse, Gateway Product Owner: 20%

UNIDATA -

Mohan Ramamurthy, Co-PI: 5% Sean Arms, Software Engineer: 40% Jennifer Oxelson, Software Eng: 10% Jeff Weber, Project Manager: 10%

NSF Review, May 6-7, 2014

The panel would like to see up to date information regarding the level of commitment (to other projects and to ACADIS) for the senior personnel and PI.

NSIDC Team

M. Serreze, PI: 10% (approx. 1 month, summer, Serreze is CU Teaching Faculty)
Lynn Yarmey, CO-PI and Data Curator: 100%
Toni Rosati, Data Curator: 100%
Software Developers: 2.0 FTE (this funding goes to software teams, and not to specific individuals)
Student Developer: 50% GRA
Lisa Booker, User Services: 10%
Systems Administrator: 5%
Terri Hoyer (Web Designer): 10%

The panel would like information on how user feedback impacts your agile process in the form of a serious case study. This may include 2 examples of use cases 1) success story from a PI.

The ACADIS Team has not had sufficient time to fully address this request. However, an appropriate example is our response to criticisms raised by the PI of the "O-Buoy" project (Arctic Ocean drifting buoys). This project had a series of special requirements, including handing near real time data and data updates. Requirements derived from deep conversation with technical members of the O-Buoy project prompted us to implement an automated and secure publishing service immediately. While O-Buoy is still engaged in integrating the service into their existing systems and workflow, it has since been used to publish other data saving the scientists' time. Total time to address the issue was 2 months, including a month of technical analysis and 2 development sprints, 2 weeks each.

The panel would like to understand how ACADIS has chosen members of their advisory committee; what's the turnover policy; how do new members get elected?

Assembling the ADAC was primarily done by NSIDC PI M. Serreze, with input from other ACADIS Senior Management. Based on his close familiarity with the Arctic science community, Serreze nominated ADAC members from diverse disciplines who are known to work closely with data and would hence be familiar with data-related impediments to their research. As of today, there has been no turnover in ADAC membership because we wanted stability over the 4 year grant period. If new members need to be elected, Serreze would discuss potential candidates with ACADIS Senior Management and then contact the candidate(s) to assess their willingness to serve. Karen Andersen has recommended a candidate for the ADAC, in case an alternate is required at some point in the future.

> ADAC Extranet site http://extranet.nsidc.org/nsf/adac/index.html

NSF Review, May 6-7, 2014

The panel would like to see communication loop from the project management part of the project to the executive leadership portion of the project.

Key project milestones and deliverables are set by the Senior Management Team at their monthly meetings. The Project Manager attends these meetings, and makes sure that all are in agreement regarding milestones and deliverables. The project manager tracks progress on milestones and deliverables through the cross cutting teams and subteams; product owners integrate tasks into their Agile schedules. This information is currently being compiled as an integrated project schedule in Smart Sheet, a cloud-based project management tool. Documents and notes are stored on our collaborative tool, Basecamp. The project manager contacts Senior Management as needed to obtain clarification and provide updates, preferably by telephone or face-to-face to ensure accurate communication.

 [W]hat are related projects and how these projects have influenced ACADIS and will influence their 4th year plan.

Present

EarthCube (heavy involvement, possible RCN formation) NCAR Community Data Portal (early on) EOL CODIAC (EOL Data Management System) UN World Meteorological Organization Information System (WMO-WIS, federation) NASA's Global Change Master Directory (GCMD, federation) NCAR Data Citation Working Group (DOIs) **NSIDC DAAC** Chronopolis Digital Preservation Network NCAR Research Data Archive (NCAR/RDA) Earth Science Information Partners (ESIP) Unidata Technology (e.g. LDM, THREDDS) Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC) Agile Software Engineering Community A2DC Collaboration **NSF Review, May 6-7, 2014** ACADIS Advanced Cooperative Arctic Data & Information Service

 [W]hat are related projects and how these projects have influenced ACADIS and will influence their 4th year plan.

Future

EarthCube Academic PLR-funded R&D (e.g. ASU and PolarHub) Research Data Alliance (RDA) (metadata, multidisciplinary data, standards) National Data Service (NDS, nascent) NOAA Metadata Rubric Process Further Agile advances Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC)

Self Published Datasets by GCMD Science Keyword Topic





NSF Review, May 6-7, 2014

- 3000 Arctic Datasets
- 190 Contributing PIs
- 140 Projects
- 500 Visitors Monthly
- 50 Download Visitors Monthly



Repository Download Users Monthly



NSF Review, May 6-7, 2014

Repository Datasets Published Yearly

Red: Special Requirements Data Collections Blue: Self Published



NSF Review, May 6-7, 2014

New Self Published Datasets Monthly



NSF Review, May 6-7, 2014



NSF Review, May 6-7, 2014

Support Level for Year 3





Community Support Tickets by Issue - Year 3

NSF Review, May 6-7, 2014

Number of Tickets by Issue

Strategic Look Forward

- Focused Support for PLR/ARC PIs, including Arctic Observing Network Investigators
 - Provide continuing support to the ARC community for metadata, data, documentation submission (from proposal to archive—data lifecycle support)
 - Develop longer term data stewardship approach for all PLR/ARC data
 - Support special projects and field deployments as requested
 - Maximize data, metadata and documentation self-publishing in the community
 - Data Management Workshop/web based training tools for young scientist/student training in data management best practices and utility of ACADIS to meet grantee DM requirements.
 - Develop a collaboratory for arctic data information services (an intellectual commons)
 - Work towards unification of PLR Arctic and Antarctic data center activities and support (conforming metadata, semantic search)
 - Coordination with SEARCH on other archive access and special dataset preparation

Strategic Look Forward

- Continue Technical Improvements and Improved User Experience
 - Emphasis on self publishing and dynamic access to the diverse arctic data holding in ACADIS
 - Increase interoperability of ACADIS and other Arctic archives
 - Complete metadata records for all ACADIS datasets
 - Plan for Permanent Archive with Chronopolis
- Additional and Continued Collaboration Beyond Arctic Observing Network Investigators such as other Arctic, Antarctic Research, EarthCube, etc.
 - Develop relationships in Earthcube Research Coordination Networks
 - Work with SEARCH for support to focus research areas

- Engage larger community of interest (e.g., NASA, NOAA, Coast Guard, DHS, DoD) and international coordination
 - Establish new relationships with agencies, archives and scientists in pursuit of improved data access and data management protocols
 - Improved international data exchange protocols (free and open access, semantic search across archives)

ACADIS Team Members are heavily engaged in the NSF EarthCube Program, and in developing a more integrated Polar Data Community cyberinfrastructure that will be part of that. ACADIS also participates in cyberinfrastructure collaboration whenever possible.



June 2013: Moore and Middleton attended the Antarctic Data Consortium Meeting at NSF HQ. This led to the formation of the Antarctic and Arctic Data Consortium: the "a2dc" initiative.



September 2013: Moore, Stott, and Yarmey attended Workshop on Cyberinfrastructure for Polar Sciences



NSF Review, May 6-7, 2014

March 2014: Building on these events and interactions, participants in the A2DC activity submitted a proposal to form an NSF EarthCube Research Coordination Network (RCN) for the Polar Sciences.

While working to build this important collaboration and project, several ACADIS team members were heavily engaged in developing the overall NSF EarthCube program.



August 2013: Middleton gave an invited presentation on data management and citation at the EarthCube Summer Institute, held at SDSC/UCSD. ACADIS was highlighted in slides and live demonstrations to an audience of mostly early-career scientists.

August 2013: At the EarthCube End-User Workshop, Tucson, Ramamurthy and Middleton introduced ACADIS strategies and directions in the plenary discussions of requirements and approaches.



January 2014: Ramamurthy and Middleton served on the organizing committee for a large NSF EarthCube Workshop on Data Facilities. Williams also attended. Middleton highlighted ACADIS in plenary. Middleton and Ramamurthy led a sub-group in developing the EarthCube Council of Data Facilities (CDF), with ACADIS as a charter member.



NSF Review, May 6-7, 2014

April 2014: The EarthCube Test Governance program organized a small-group EarthCube Assembly Summit, aimed at developing an architecture and a charter for the next phase of EarthCube Governance. Middleton was invited to the meeting, wearing multiple hats including ACADIS, CDF, etc.



NSF Review, May 6-7, 2014

October 2013:

Moore attended the International Polar Data Forum in Tokyo. His invited presentation was "The Arctic Cooperative Data and Information System: Data Management Support for the NSF Arctic Research Program".

Siri Jodha Singh Khalsa also attended the Forum to present on the Arctic Data Explorer, "Building on the IPY: Discovering Interdisciplinary Data through Federated Search".



ACADIS Metadata Harvested by PolarHub



Outreach Statistics

Outreach Log has 40 events on it from June 2013 to May 2014, some entries included participation from more than one ACADIS staff member Example outreach events include:

National Meetings

- American Meteorological Society (AMS)
- American Geophysical Union (AGU)
- Geological Society of America (GSA)
- American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS)
- Ocean Science Meeting (OSM)
- American Association of Geographers (AAG)
- Research Data Access and Preservation Summit (RDAP)

International Meetings

International Polar Data Forum

Targeted Events

- EarthCube workshops
- Cyberinfrastructure for Polar Science

Domain Science Events

 Ocean sciences, snow remote sensing, Arctic ecology and geology, etc.

ACADIS collaborates with other federated data systems, NSF R&D projects, and leverages existing cyberinfrastructure whenever possible.

- NASA's Global Change Master Directory (GCMD)
- World Meteorology Organization Information System (WIS)
- Via the ADE, we are leveraging brokering/federation technology supported by the NSF BCube Project (led by NSIDC)
- Spent two days with Dr. Wenwen Li, who is funded by NSF/PLR to develop a polar data discovery hub, and is harvesting ACADIS metadata. ACADIS members also attended a presentation given by Dr. Li. This is another way ACADIS collaborates with the academic community.

Cyberinfrastructure Collaboration - What does the Future Hold?

- Traditional Stakeholders (science community, archives, etc.)
- New Stakeholders (regional citizen population, NGO, foundations, private companies, policy makers)
- In an ideal world, polar researchers will
 - Share, deposit and cite data
 - They are rewarded for integrity, science achievements and better science
 - Use archive data as the base level for new science
- In an ideal world, the polar archives will
 - Provide broad search to data of interest
 - Search capabilities, regardless of entry point
 - Long term stewardship and access

Cyberinfrastructure Collaboration

- In an ideal world, Funders will
 - Require a data management plan
 - Fund and monitor data management requirements
 - Recognize data and science research output
 - Fund cyberinfrastructure in order to
 - Maximize return on investment
 - Facilitate science research
 - Provide training for scientists and students
 - Increase the efficiency of research
 - Respond to societal needs

Rosetta: A general purpose data format converter

Vision

Reduce data friction through the use of data format / metadata standards to add efficiency to the data discovery and use portion of the scientific process.

Goal

Convert various "flavors" of ASCII based data* found in the ACADIS Gateway into a standard format.



Rosetta: A general purpose data format converter

Why?

Enhance reusability:

- Enable scientific analysis and sharing across widely disparate data holding
 - Saves time and makes investigators (and students) lives easier
- Standard formats enable enhanced, end user focused data services:
 - Data sub-setting (spatial, temporal, and variable), aggregation, visual data "previews", Interoperability, etc.

Rosetta

How?

- Enable data producer!
 - They know their dataset the best, so keep the power with them
- Get out of their way quickly!
 - Critical!
 - At submission time, data are in a format that the PI can readily use – they don't want to spend extra time converting to another format

WANT ME TO WRITE TO STANDARD FORMAT?



Rosetta

How?

- Web based guided interface
 - No coding involved!
 - No need to completely understand the Climate and Forecast (CF) conventions.
- Leverages years of development behind
 - netCDF
 - netCDF-Java /THREDDS Data Server
 - CF conventions

				Enter Variable Attributes
Please choose a file to convert	Specify Variabl	e Attributes		What would you like to do with this column of data?
Select Observation Platform				Assign a variable name Do not use this column of data
Specify Header Lines	e column	0: D 🔲 column 1	🗌 cc	time
Specify Delimiters			ent dej	Ta this vanishing a securitaria vanishing (super-last latituda
Specify Variable Attributes		1188777600	2.10	Is this variable a coordinate variable? (examples: latitude, longitude, time)
		1188864000	3.63	
		1188950400	4.21	What type of coordinate variable?
		1189036800	2.00	
Previous Next		1189123200	4.57	Relative time (i.e. days since 1970-01-01) +
		1189209600	5.32	Specify variable data type:
		1189296000	4.27	• Integer (Jecimal) Text
		1189382400	3.38	Required Metadata:
		1189468800	2.29	Variable Description 0 Time from datalogger
		1189555200	0.2€	
		1189641600	1.21	Units @ seconds since 1970-C
Questions or comments at Version : 0.2-SNAPSHOT Build Date: 20140418.123	bout Rosetta and AC 1 services and tools to t	ADIS can be sent	to: sup	What type of data are we building units for? relative date/time + unit prefix: + seconds since 1970-01-01 + + - Recommended Metadata: CF Name time

Datasets addressed by Rosetta

- Rosetta is designed for datasets that fit into the six Climate and Forecast Discrete Sampling Geometry (CF-DSG) types
 - Point, time series, trajectory, profile, time series of profiles, trajectory profiles (borehole data, meteorological station observations, buoy platforms, CDAT, etc.)
- Some datasets accessed through the ACADIS gateway are not amenable to a standard format
 - A few examples: field notes, photographs, biological distributions and categorizations, survey results

Demos



NSF Review, May 6-7, 2014

The ACADIS Team thanks you for time and attention!



NSF Review, May 6-7, 2014

Backups after this one

A Summary of Additional ACADIS Presentations and Posters

- Jan 2013, 93rd AMS Meeting: Arms presented Arms, S. C., J. O. Ganter, J. Weber, and M. K. Ramamurthy, 2013: A Web-based Tool for Translating and Unstructured Data from Dataloggers into Standard Formats.
- July 2013, University of Colorado Boulder Data Librarians Meeting: Yarmey gave an invited presentation: 'ACADIS: Select Services and Systems'.
- August 2013, XSEDE Gateways Webinar: Nienhouse, Wilhelmi, and Middleton gave an invited presentation "Science Gateways and Big Data", and used ACADIS in live demonstrations.
- Dec 2013, Fall AGU: Moore gave an invited presentation entitled The Arctic Cooperative Data and Information System: Data Management Support for the NSF Arctic Research Program

A Summary of Additional ACADIS Presentations and Posters

- Dec 2013, Fall AGU: Yarmey presented paper: "Metadata Standards in Theory and Practice: The Human in the Loop", served as an invited panelist for: "AGU Cryosphere Career Development Mentor Panel'; and presented the poster "Building an International Polar Data Coordination Network"
- Jan 2014, 94th AMS: Arms presented presented one paper: S. C., J. O. Ganter, J. Weber, and M. K. Ramamurthy, 2014: Rosetta - Unidata's Web-based Translation Tool: Progress and Future Plans.
- Feb 2014, NASA Distributed Active Archive Center Manager's Meeting: Serreze and Yarmey demonstrated the Arctic Data Explorer and discussed ACADIS metadata experience and challenges.

A Summary of Additional ACADIS Presentations and Posters

- March 2014, INSTAAR Arctic Workshop: Yarmey Presented a poster: "To understand the Arctic's new normal, you first need to find old data"
- March 2014, Research Data Access and Preservation Summit: Yarmey presented a talk entitled "Data Discovery and Access through Metadata Brokering".
- April 2014, UIUC Graduate School of Library and Information Science Data Curation: Invited panelist - presented a short bio highlighting my ACADIS work to showcase opportunities for future Data Curators.
- May 2014, ESRL Workshop: Rosati presented a posted on "Bridging Field Science with the Needs of Data Re-users promotion of ACADIS tools and services"