Microwave Temperature Profiler Observations during MPEX

Julie Haggerty, Chris Davis, Dave Ahijevych, Kelly MPEX Workshop 19 November 2013







The last thousand that the end with the set of the set

Scientific Objectives

state and

Scientific Objectives context by derifying het roposuse height for orher in shu or important during Gora beset and context by derived the context by derived for a earoid measurement. This important during Gora beset are occain regions like the Pecke Cocan are radiosonde sparse. Plot Temperature Curtains Color-coding temperature profiles and plotting them as a curtain along the Glabar atmospheric temperature structures. This facilitates interpretation of other measurements during Goras besets. Plot Temperature Curtains in the structure of the structures structures. This facilitates interpretation of other measurements during Goras and temperature profile is converted to a potential temperature profile can by scan, the altitude of specific potential for the structures is the streamlines on which air parcels how, so they allow atmospheric dynamics to be studied, such as convectively-excited gravity waves or larger scale synoptic uraves.

Global Hawk Pacifi

Ŧ

Microwave Temperature Profiler Sensor Overview

- Airborne passive microwave sounding device
- Measures emission at 3 frequencies in the oxygen absorption (55 GHz) complex
- Scans from near-nadir to nearzenith, sampling at specified elevation angles
- Internal calibration system uses heated blackbody target and in situ temperature measurement
- Measurement uncertainty ~0.2K



- Penetration depths depend on frequency and altitude (~0.5 – 4 km at 10 km flight level)
- Surface emission detected at low altitudes

MTP

Temperature Profile Retrievals

- Statistical retrieval method constrained with a priori information from proximate radiosondes
- Profiles available at 17 sec intervals (~4 km horizontal spacing)
- Vertical resolution ~150 m within 1 km of aircraft, increases to ~1 km at >6 km away
- Profiles usually extend to ± 6-8 km from flight level



MTP vs. Dropsonde Temperature Profiles

	МТР	Dropsonde	
Measurement method	remote	in situ	
Accuracy	0.2-1.5 K	0.2K	
Horizontal spacing in MPEX	4 km	~100 km	
Vertical resolution	150 m – 1 km	6 m	RF11 Comparison 15
Vertical extent	± 6km from flight level	Flight level to surface	
			10000 5000 The difference between start times of profiles is 3 seconds The start time is: 42655 The dropsonde is: 202030603,15056 p.1QC.eol 0 200 210 220 230 240 250 260 270 280 290

MPEX Data Summary

- MTP functioned well on all 15 flights
- Retrievals quality is generally good
- Lower quality retrievals with larger uncertainties obtained during periods of extreme spatial variation (e.g., fronts, mountainous areas)
- Double tropopauses frequently observed
- Comparison with dropsonde and upsonde temperature profiles in progress
- Data summarized at:

https://www.eol.ucar.edu/content/summarymtp-results-mpex



Questions?





Rawinsonde positions relative to dropsonde



Cluster to the north probably results from

1) proximity of drop points to Albuquerque, Grand Junction and Dodge City,

2) those stations are slightly north of the drop points, and

3) were flying near those points (especially DDC and ABQ) near 12 UTC.

Measured Temperature Difference between MTP, dropsonde, and upsondes



