An Investigation of the Kinematic and Microphysical Control of Lightning Rate, Extent and NO_x Production using DC3 Observations and the NASA Lightning Nitrogen Oxides Model (LNOM)

Lawrence Carey¹, William Koshak², Harold Peterson³, Retha Matthee¹ and A. Lamont Bain¹

¹ Department of Atmospheric Science, University of Alabama in Huntsville (UAH), Huntsville, AL

² Earth Science Office, NASA Marshall Space Flight Center (MSFC), Huntsville, AL

³ Universities Space Research Association (USRA), Huntsville, AL







December 11, 2013

American Geophysical Union (AGU) AE31A-03

Outline

- Deep Convective Clouds and Chemistry (DC3) Experiment -Alabama (AL) aircraft case on 21 May 2012
 - Environment
 - Dual-Doppler and dual-polarization radar summary during peak lightning period
 - Lightning properties (rate, extent) vs. radar-inferred kinematic and microphysical characteristics
- NASA Lightning Nitrogen Oxides Model (LNOM)
 - Lightning Segment Altitude Distribution (SAD)
 - Lightning NO_x (LNOx) Production
- LNOM SAD and LNOx Production for 21 May 2012 case over AL
 - Comparison to radar



Map of DC3 AL Domain

Low-moderate CAPE, low shear → ordinary multicell storms

Environmental Conditions 21 May 2012 DC3 AL Aircraft Case 2037 UTC UAH RAOB



LNOM and Radar Analysis Domains



- Initiation approximately around 1940 UTC nearly 80-85 km north of ARMOR
- Multicellular with noticeable cell merger around 2015 UTC
- Peak NA LMA Total Flash Rate ~ 5 flashes min⁻¹
- Peak NLDN CG Flash Rate ~ 1 flash min⁻¹
- Peak Vertical Velocity ~ 20 m s⁻¹

21 May 2012 (Rapid Intensification, Post Merger 2012-2023 UTC)



21 May 2012 (Lightning in Southernmost Updraft 2015 UTC)



21 May 2012 (Peak Lightning, Post Merger 2023 UTC)



Flash Extent = (Convex Hull Flash Area) 1/2



- Flash count correlated with MP precipitation ice and updraft.
- Median flash extent increases as convection pulses
- Largest flashes lag convective pulse but correlated to nonprecipitation (anvil) ice

- Flash count and extent opposed; most large flashes when flash rate low (e.g., Bruning and MacGorman 2013)

Flash Extent (Convex Hull) vs. Flash Count vs. Radar Microphysics/Kinematic





Poster: Matthee et al., AE33B-0342 (Today 1:40 PM)

NASA Lightning Nitrogen Oxides Model (LNOM)



Koshak et al. (2013)

- LNOM run in Lagrangian (i.e., storm following cylinder) mode for multi-cell cluster sampled by DC3 aircraft on 21 May 2012 over AL
- Subjective radar- and LMA-based definition of multicell cluster, shown earlier
- Variable LNOM cylinder radius size and location that change each ARMOR radar volume time

NASA LNOM Lightning Segment Altitude Distribution (SAD)







- Cloud flashes dominate SAD
- Ground SAD ≤ Cloud SAD, especially aloft
- Yet, LNOx production from Ground Flash significant fraction of overall LNOx production, especially but not exclusively at low levels

Storm Integrated (≈ 1-hour) LNOM Profiles 21 May 2012 DC3 AL Aircraft Cluster



LNOM Flash Extent [Σ (SAD)]vs. Convex Hull Length vs. Radar



Radar Parameter	Flash Rate (min ⁻¹)	Flash Extent Rate (km min ⁻¹)
Graupel Echo Volume	$\rho = 0.79$	ρ = 0.61
Precipitation Ice Mass	$\rho = 0.78$	$\rho = 0.55$
Updraft Echo Volume	ρ = 0.76	ρ = 0.61
Maximum Updraft	$\rho = 0.60$	$\rho = 0.41$

LNOM LNOx Production

- Cloud LNOx production highly correlated to total cloud flash extent;
- Ground LNOx production also correlated to total ground flash extent
- Ground LNOx governed in part by other CG flash parameters (e.g., peak current) in LNOM
- Radar microphysical parameters (e.g., graupel volume) somewhat correlated (ρ=0.47) to Total LNOx Production
 - LNOx lags graupel $(\rho_{lag}=0.64)$





Summary

- Dual-Doppler, dual-polarization radar, LMA and LNOM study of the 21 May 2012 DC3 aircraft case over Alabama
- Coalescence-freezing, modest convective updrafts and subsequent graupel growth drives lightning production
- Total lightning flash rate well correlated to kinematic (e.g., updraft volume) and microphysical properties (e.g., graupel volume) inferred from radar, as in past studies
- To a somewhat lesser extent, LNOM flash extent and LNOx production also correlated to radar properties
- LNOM SAD ("connect the dots") flash extent well correlated to convex hull length scale of flash
- Flash count and extent opposed; largest extent flashes lag the convective generator.

21 May 2012 Development Phase (1945-2001 UTC)



21 May 2012 (Electrification of Northernmost Updraft 2004 UTC)

Sufficiently strong vertical motion to loft rain into mixed phase (MP) where freezing by 2001 UTC, likely resulted in the northernmost updraft producing 3 LMA flashes by 2004 UTC.

Northern Updraft

- $Z_{dr} < 1 dB$
- $Z_h \sim 45-50 \text{ dBZ}$
- Likely Graupel/Small Hail (PID Confirmed)

Radar Cross Section from ARMOR at 2004 UTC on 20120521



0 Distance from ARMOR (W/E) in km

• LMA flash initiation

<u>First Lightning</u>

First flashes are associated with northern updraft

LMA LI Points: 3 NLDN CG Flash: 0

o °C Level

-10 °C Level

-40 °C Level

55

50

45

40

35

30

25

20

15

 $W_{max} \sim 2-4 \text{ m s}^{-1}$

21 May 2012 (Decay and Dissipation Stages 2106 UTC)

